## Journal of Science & Cycling Breakthroughs in Cycling & Triathlon Sciences



## Conference Abstract EFRT: A realistic model of human exercise, fatigue and recovery

## Axel Finke<sup>1\*</sup>, Jonah Drake<sup>1</sup>, Richard Ferguson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Science, Loughborough University, UK. <sup>2</sup> School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, UK.

\* Correspondence: (AF) a.finke@lboro.ac.uk.

Received: 11 March 2023; Accepted: 21 May 2023; Published: 23 October 2023

Abstract: We discuss mathematical models for endurance exercise. Such models are needed to accurately assess athletes' fitness (e.g. for guiding training or identifying talent); and to predict performances (e.g. for optimising race strategies and pacing in track-cycling events).

So-called W'-balance models, based around the "critical power" paradigm, are the current state-of-theart. Unfortunately, W'-balance models cannot account for many essential qualitative features ("stylised facts") of endurance exercise. For instance, they fail to adequately capture that: (a) low ("heavy" or "moderate") exercise intensities cannot be sustained indefinitely; (b) pacing impacts exercise tolerance; (c) exercise modality affects subsequent recovery; (d) fatigue from prolonged exercise changes the power-duration relationship (a.k.a. the "durability" concept).

We introduce the exercise, fatigue and recovery tracking (EFRT) model - a novel and rigorous framework for endurance exercise which is more realistic than W'-balance models in the sense that it can capture all the above-mentioned stylised facts of endurance exercise (and many more).

The fact that the EFRT model captures these stylised facts (while W'-balance models do not) is a mathematical property and thus not investigable through experimentation. Nonetheless, since the power-duration relationship under the EFRT model follows a power law, empirical evidence from thousands of athletes, including runners, cyclists, swimmers and rowers in [1–5] immediately shows that the EFRT model more accurately predicts the time to exhaustion than W'-balance models – and at a much wider range of exercise intensities.

Despite its realistic behaviour, the EFRT model is still highly parsimonious: it requires only a small number of parameters and all of these have meaningful interpretations: they represent speed, endurance, durability, and recovery.

**Keywords:** fatigue; recovery; durability; performance prediction; critical power; *W*-balance modelling.

## References

- [1] Drake, J., Finke, A., & Ferguson, R. (2022). Modelling human endurance: Power laws vs critical power. bioRxiv 2022.08.31.506028. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.31.506028
- [2] Hinckson, E. A., & Hopkins, W. G. (2005). Reliability of time to exhaustion analyzed with critical-power and log-log modeling. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 37(4), 696–701. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000159023.06

934.53 [3] Osiecki, R., Nascimento, V. B., Daros, L. B., Becker, D., Stanganélli, L. C. R., & Carlos, A. (2014). Prediction of swimming performance over 200 m and 400 m freestyle through the log-log model and critical power model. Journal of Exercise Physiology Online, 17(1), 58-67.

- [4] Coakley, S. L. (2015). Individualised methods of prescribing exercise in cycling. PhD thesis, University of Kent.
- [5] Zinoubi, B., Vandewalle, H., and Driss, T. (2017). Modeling of running performances in humans: Comparison of power laws and critical speed. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 31(7):1859-1867. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000001542



© 2023 Finke, A. licensee JSC. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ((http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

