

Conference abstract

Sports & Health applications of a versatile electronic architecture for e-bikes: Preliminary study

Eli Gabriel Avina-Bravo^{1,2 *}, Christophe Escriba¹, Franck Giraud², Jean-Yves Fourniols¹, Georges Soto-Romero¹

¹ The Laboratory for Analysis and Architecture of Systems (LAAS-CNRS), University of Toulouse, 31077 Toulouse, France (e-mail: egavinabra@laas.fr; cescriba@laas.fr; pacco@laas.fr; gsotorom@laas.fr).

² TNP Consulting, 92200 Neuilly-sur-Seine, France. (e-mail: franck.giraud@tnpconsultants.com)

* Correspondence: (E.G.A-B.) egavinabra@laas.fr.

Received: 10 May 2022; Accepted: 2 June 2022; Published: 23 September 2022

Keywords: Embedded systems, sports, cycling, health monitoring, reconfigurable architecture.

Abstract

In recent years, e-bikes have proven themselves to be a good way to do a physical activity (Berntsen et al., 2017; Louis et al., 2012; Stenner et al., 2020) while performing an active mobility (Fishman, 2016; Heinen et al., 2010). Several studies have compared the e-bike physiological impact with regular cycling, running and walking (Bourne et al., 2018; Castro et al., 2019), concluding that this impact is lesser than regular cycling and running but superior to walking, thus helping the users to achieve their weekly activity goals. Performing a physical activity by themselves helps improve the overall health state, preventing the appearance of diseases and reducing the symptoms of some diseases (Barbosa et al., 2015; Das & Horton, 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Livingston et al., 2017; McTiernan et al., 2019; Schuch et al., 2016; World Health Organization, 2020).

Those elements led to the creation of our electronic architecture, which recovers user's physiological data and uses it to adjust the electric assistance available on the bike.

During validation tests, three strategies of electric assistance were defined, resulting in different outcomes for the same test protocol.

The overall algorithm consists in separating the user's heart rate in different zones according to ESIE scale (Grappe 2009), being the highest, a zone where the user is at his maximum heart rate and producing the most muscular power (simulating a sprint), and the lowest zone being at rest with none or little rise in the heart rate. Five more zones were included in the middle of those two. With the zones established, the system proceeds to analyze the measured heart rate and is classified into one zone, depending on the result and the strategy used, the electrical assistance is modified from no assistance at all (lowest zone) and all the assistance available (highest zone).

What the strategies do is they change how the assistance is given on the remaining five zones. The first strategy linearizes the electrical assistance available, meaning that at the third zone the assistance will be 33% and 83% for the sixth.



Second one mimics a logarithm curve, which means that the electrical assistance is high from the first zones, i.e. at the third zone there is 76% and 96% for the sixth.

Third one does the same as the second but with an exponential curve, resulting in having a significant electrical assistance on the last zones, i.e at the third zone there is 3% and 42% for the sixth.

Preliminary tests for all strategies were performed on outdoor and indoor conditions. From the tests' results, conclusions were made about the use case of the second and third strategy. Since the second is more reactive to the heart rate fluctuation, its usage is preferable for users that do not exercise regularly or are recovering from an injury or surgery. The third one forces the user to really be on a physiological strain before having a big help, athletes or users with good physical condition can use this for training purposes. Further works will concern the inclusion of healthy volunteers in a validation study prior to patients in a clinical study, in order to improve strategies with an individualization layer based on embedded artificial intelligence. Our electronic architecture would be able to recover medical data from patient, adjust training (rehabilitation) load and send messages to medical staff if session was normally completed.

References

1. Barbosa, D., Santos, C. P., & Martins, M. (2015). The Application of Cycling and Cycling Combined with Feedback in the Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients: A Review. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 24(2), 253–273.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2014.09.006>
2. Berntsen, S., Malnes, L., Langåker, A., & Bere, E. (2017). Physical activity when riding an electric assisted bicycle. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 14(1), 55.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0513z>
3. Bourne, J. E., Sauchelli, S., Perry, R., Page, A., Leary, S., England, C., & Cooper, A. R. (2018). Health benefits of electrically assisted cycling: A systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 15(1), 116. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0751-8>
4. Castro, A., Gaupp-Berghausen, M., Dons, E., Standaert, A., Laeremans, M., Clark, A., Anaya-Boig, E., Cole-Hunter, T., AvilaPalencia, I., Rojas-Rueda, D., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Gerike, R., Panis, L. I., de Nazelle, A., Brand, C., Raser, E., Kahlmeier, S., & Götschi, T. (2019). Physical activity of electric bicycle users compared to conventional bicycle users and non-cyclists: Insights based on health and transport data from an online survey in seven European cities. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 1, 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2019.100017>
6. Das, P., & Horton, R. (2012). Rethinking our approach to physical activity. *The Lancet*, 380(9838), 189–190.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61024-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61024-1)
7. Fishman, E. (2016). Bikeshare: A Review of Recent Literature. *Transport Reviews*, 36(1), 92–113.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2015.1033036>
8. Grappe, F. (2009). Cyclisme et optimisation de la performance. Les éditions De Boeck Université.
9. Heinen, E., van Wee, B., & Maat, K. (2010). Commuting by Bicycle: An Overview of the Literature. *Transport Reviews*, 30(1), 59–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01441640903187001>
10. Lee, I.-M., Shiroma, E. J., Lobelo, F., Puska, P., Blair, S. N., & Katzmarzyk, P. T. (2012). Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: An analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *The Lancet*, 380(9838), 219–229.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61031-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61031-9)

11. Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S. G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Larson, E. B., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E. L., ... Mukadam, N. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *The Lancet*, 390(10113), 2673–2734. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31363-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31363-6)
12. Louis, J., Brisswalter, J., Morio, C., Barla, C., & Temprado, J.-J. (2012). The Electrically Assisted Bicycle: An Alternative Way to Promote Physical Activity. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 91(11), 931–940. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e318269d9bb>
13. McTiernan, A., Friedenreich, C. M., Katzmarzyk, P. T., Powell, K. E., Macko, R., Buchner, D., Pescatello, L. S., Bloodgood, B., Tennant, B., Vaux-Bjerke, A., George, S. M., Troiano, R. P., & Piercy, K. L. (2019). Physical Activity in Cancer Prevention and Survival: A Systematic Review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 51(6), 1252–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.000000000000001937>
14. Schuch, F. B., Vancampfort, D., Richards, J., Rosenbaum, S., Ward, P. B., & Stubbs, B. (2016). Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 77, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.02.023>
15. Stenner, H. T., Boyen, J., Hein, M., Protte, G., Kück, M., Finkel, A., Hanke, A. A., & Tegtbur, U. (2020). Everyday Pedelec Use and Its Effect on Meeting Physical Activity Guidelines. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134807>
16. World Health Organization. (2020, November 26). *Physical activity*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/physical-activity>

